arXiv:1704.07997v1 [math.AP] 26 Apr 2017

CARLESON MEASURES, BMO SPACES AND BALAYAGES ASSOCIATED TO SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS

PENG CHEN, XUAN THINH DUONG, JI LI, LIANG SONG AND LIXIN YAN

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Schrödinger operator of the form $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$ acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 3$, where the nonnegative potential V belongs to the reverse Hölder class B_q for some $q \geq n$. Let $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the BMO space associated to the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this article we show that for every $f \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, then there exist $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a finite Carleson measure μ such that

$$f(x) = g(x) + S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}(x)$$

with $\|g\|_\infty + |||\mu|||_c \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where

$$S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \mathcal{P}_t(x,y)d\mu(y,t),$$

and $\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)$ is the kernel of the Poisson semigroup $\{e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}\}_{t>0}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Conversely, if μ is a Carleson measure, then $S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}$ belongs to the space $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This extends the result for the classical John–Nirenberg BMO space by Carleson [1] (see also [13, 7, 14]) to the BMO setting associated to Schrödinger operators.

1. Introduction

Consider the Schrödinger operator with the non-negative potential V :

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V(x) \quad \text{on } L^2(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad n \geq 3, \tag{1.1}$$

where V belongs to the reverse Hölder class B_q for some $q \geq n/2$, which by definition means that $V \in L^q_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $V \geq 0$, and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the reverse Hölder inequality

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B V(y)^q\, dy\right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{|B|}\int_B V(y)\, dy \tag{1.2}$$

holds for all balls B in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

The operator $\mathcal{L}$ is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\mathcal{L}$ generates the Poisson semigroup $\{e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}\}_{t>0}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since the potential V is non-negative, the semigroup kernels $\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)$ of the operators $e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}$ satisfy

$$0 \leq \mathcal{P}_t(x,y) \leq p_t(x-y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$, where

$$p_t(x-y) = c_n \frac{t}{(t^2+|x|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}, \quad c_n = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(n+1)/2}} \tag{1.3}$$

is the kernel of the classical Poisson semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0} = \{e^{-t\sqrt{-\Delta}}\}_{t>0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Date: April 27, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B35, 42B37, 35J10, 47F05.

Key words and phrases. BMO space, Carleson measure, balayage, Poisson semigroup, the reverse Hölder class, Schrödinger operators.

Following [5], a locally integrable function f belongs to $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever there is constant $C \geq 0$ so that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y) - f_Q|dy \leq C, \tag{1.4}$$

where f_Q stands for the average of f over the cube Q on $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., $f_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q f(y)dy$, and

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y)|dy \leq C \tag{1.5}$$

for every cubes Q with $\ell(Q) > \rho(x)$, where $\ell(Q)$ is the sidelength of Q and x is the centre of Q, and the function $\rho(x) = \rho(x; V)$ takes the explicit form

$$\rho(x) = \sup\Big\{r > 0 : \frac{1}{r^{n-2}}\int_{B(x,r)} V(y)dy \leq 1\Big\}. \tag{1.6}$$

Throughout the article we assume that $V \not\equiv 0$ so that $0 < \rho(x) < \infty$ (see [11]). We define $\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ to be the smallest C in the right hand sides of (1.4) and (1.5). Because of (1.5), this $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space is in fact a proper subspace of the classical BMO space of John-Nirenberg.

Consider the function

$$S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \mathcal{P}_t(x, y)d\mu(y, t), \tag{1.7}$$

where μ is a Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. It is easy to see that if μ is finite then the integral in (1.7) – called the sweep or balayage of μ with respect $\mathcal{P}$ -converges absolutely for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\|S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}\|_1 \leq C(n)\|\mu\|$.

The aim of this article is to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $V \in B_q$ for some $q \geq n$. Then we have*

(i) *If μ is a Carleson measure, then $S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}} \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(n)|||\mu|||_c$.*

(ii) *Let $f \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have compact support. There exist $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a finite Carleson measure μ such that*

$$f(x) = g(x) + S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}(x), \tag{1.8}$$

where $\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |||\mu|||_c \leq C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

We would like to mention that for the case that $\mathcal{P}_t(x, y)$ is the classical Poisson kernel $p_t(x - y)$ in (1.3), the proof of (i) of Theorem 1.1 of the classical BMO is quite standard (see [6]); and the result (ii) of Theorem 1.1 is proved by an iteration argument in the work of L. Carleson [1]; and also in the work of A. Uchiyama [13], and by Garnett and Jones [7]. Later, J.M. Wilson [14] gives a new proof by using the Poisson semigroup property and Green's theorem to avoid the iteration to make the construction much more explicit. Our Theorem 1.1 extends the result of the classical BMO to the space $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with the Schrödinger operators. The proof of Theorem 1.1 follows the idea of [14] i.e., by using the Poisson semigroup property, and Green's theorem, but differs from it in method and techniques since the kernel for the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is not translation invariant and several techniques for the classical Possion kernel are not applicable here. The standard preservation condition of the semigroup $\{e^{-t\mathcal{L}}\}_{t>0}$ fails, i.e.,

$$e^{-t\mathcal{L}}1 \neq 1.$$

This is indeed one of the main obstacles in this article and makes the theory quite subtle and delicate. We overcome this problem in the proof by making use of the estimates on the kernel of

the Poisson semigroup under the assumption on $V \in B_q$ for some $q \geq n$, and some techniques to estimate the norm of the space $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with the Schrödinger operators.

Throughout the article, the letter "C " will denote (possibly different) constants that are independent of the essential variables.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the article, we may sometimes use capital letters to denote points in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, e.g., $X = (x, t)$, and set

$$\nabla_X u(X) = (\nabla_x u, \partial_t u) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla_X u| = |\nabla_x u| + |\partial_t u|.$$

For simplicity we will denote by ∇ the full gradient ∇_X in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. For every cube Q on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we set $\ell(Q)$ the sidelength of Q, and let CQ denote the cube concentric with Q and with sidelength C times as big. For Q as above, we write $\widehat{Q} = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ : x \in Q, 0 < t < \ell(Q)\}$. Recall that a measure μ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ is said to be a Carleson measure if

$$|||\mu|||_c =: \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|\mu|(\widehat{Q})}{|Q|} < \infty. \tag{2.1}$$

2.1. **Basic properties of the heat and Poisson semigroups of Schrödinger operators.** Let us recall some basic properties of the critical radii function $\rho(x)$ under the assumption (1.2) on V (see Section 2, [5]). Suppose $V \in B_q$ for some $q > n/2$. There exist $C > 0$ and $k_0 \geq 1$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$C^{-1}\rho(x)\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-k_0} \leq \rho(y) \leq C\rho(x)\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}}. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, $\rho(x) \sim \rho(y)$ when $y \in B(x, r)$ and $r \leq c\rho(x)$.

The following estimates on the heat kernel of L are well known.

Proposition 2.1 ([5])**.** *Let $L = -\Delta + V$ with $V \in B_q$ for some $q \geq n/2$. Then for each $N > 0$ there exists $C_N > 0$ such that the heat kernel $\mathcal{H}_t(x, y)$ of the semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t>0}$ satisfies*

$$\mathcal{H}_t(x, y) \leq C_N \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/ct}}{t^{n/2}}\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{H}_t(x, y) - \mathcal{H}_t(x', y)| \leq C_N \left(\frac{|x-x'|}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{\beta} \frac{e^{-|x-y|^2/ct}}{t^{n/2}}\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N} \tag{2.4}$$

whenever $|x - x'| \leq \sqrt{t}$ and for any $0 < \beta < \min\{1, 2 - n/q\}$.

Through Bochner's subordination formula (see [12]), the Poisson semigroup associated to $\mathcal{L}$ can be obtained from the heat semigroup:

$$e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-u}}{\sqrt{u}}\, e^{-\frac{t^2}{4u}\mathcal{L}} f(x)\, du = \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s}}}{s^{3/2}} e^{-s\mathcal{L}} f(x)\, ds, \tag{2.5}$$

which connects the Poisson kernel and the heat kernel as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_t(x, y) = \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s}}}{s^{3/2}} \mathcal{H}_s(x, y) ds. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.2 ([4, 5, 10]). *Suppose $V \in B_q$ for some $q \geq n$. For any $0 < \beta < \min\{1, 2 - n/q\}$ and every $N > 0$, there exists a constant $C = C_N$ such that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$,*

(i) $$|\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)| \leq C \frac{t}{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N};$$

(ii) *The kernel $\nabla\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)$ satisfies*

$$|t\nabla\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)| \leq \frac{Ct}{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(1 + \frac{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(x)} + \frac{(t^2+|x-y|^2)^{1/2}}{\rho(y)}\right)^{-N};$$

(iii) $$\left|t\nabla e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(1)(x)\right| \leq C \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\beta} \left(1 + \frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-N}.$$

It follows from Lemmas 1.2 and 1.8 in [11] that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for a nonnegative Schwartz class function φ there exists a constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_t(x-y)V(y)dy \leq \begin{cases} Ct^{-1}(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)})^{\delta} & \text{for } t \leq \rho(x)^2\,; \\ C(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{\rho(x)})^{C_0+2-n} & \text{for } t > \rho(x)^2\,, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-n/2}\varphi(x/\sqrt{t})$, and $\delta = 2 - n/q > 0$. From (2.2), we can follow the proof of (d) of Proposition 3.6, [10] to show that for $V \in B_q, q \geq n/2$, there is some $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $N > \max\{4, 2\log(C_0 + 2 - n)\}$ with C_0 the doubling constant of with respect to the potential V as in (1.1), such that for $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1 + \left|\log\frac{\rho(y)}{t}\right|\right) t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)dy \leq Ct^{-1} \min\left\{\left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\delta}, \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}+2}\right\}. \tag{2.8}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose $V \in B_q$ for some $q \geq n/2$. Let $f \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we have*

(i) *There exists $C > 0$ such that for all Q with $\ell(Q) < \rho(x)$, then*

$$|f_{2Q}| \leq C\left(1 + \log\frac{\rho(x)}{\ell(Q)}\right)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(ii) *For every $p \in [1,\infty)$, there exists $C = C(p,\rho) > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f - f_Q|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \textit{for all cubes } Q,$$

and for every Q with $\ell(Q) \geq \rho(x)$:

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. For the proof of (i), we can obtain it by making minor modification with that of Lemma 2, [5], and we skip it here. For the proof of (ii), we refer it to Corollary 3, [5]. □

2.2. **The Balayage associated with generalized approximation to the identity.** In this section we will work with a class of integral operators $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t>0}$, which plays the role of generalized approximations to the identity. Assume that the operators $\mathcal{A}_t$ are defined by kernels $a_t(x,y)$ in the sense that

$$\mathcal{A}_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}_t(x,y) f(y) dy,$$

where the kernels $\mathcal{A}_t(x,y)$ satisfy the estimate

$$|\mathcal{A}_t(x,y)| \leq Ct^{-n}\left(1+\frac{|x-y|}{t}\right)^{-(n+\epsilon)} \tag{2.9}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ and for every function f which satisfies some suitable growth condition.

The space $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with a generalized approximation to the identity $\{A_t\}_{t>0}$ was introduced and studied in [2]. In the sequel, ϵ is a constant as in (2.9).

Definition 2.4. We say that $f \in L^1((1+|x|)^{-(n+\epsilon)})$ is in $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of functions of bounded mean oscillation associated with a generalized approximation to the identity $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t>0}$, if there exists some constant C such that for any ball B,

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(x) - \mathcal{A}_{\ell(Q)} f(x)| dx \leq C, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\ell(Q)$ is the sidelength of the cube Q. The smallest bound c for which (2.10) is satisfied is then taken to be the norm of f in this space, and is denoted by $\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}}$.

Note first that $(\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}})$ is a semi-normed vector space, with the seminorm vanishing on the space $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}} = \left\{ f \in L^1((1+|x|)^{-(n+\epsilon)}) : \mathcal{A}_t f(x) = f(x) \text{ for almost all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ for all } t > 0 \right\}.$$

The space $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is understood to be modulo $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{A}}$. It is easy to check that $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

In this section, we assume that

i) A_0 is the identity operator and the operators $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t>0}$ form a semigroup, that is, for any $t, s > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{A}_t\mathcal{A}_s f(x) = \mathcal{A}_{t+s} f(x)$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

ii) There exists some $0 < \epsilon' \leq \epsilon$ such that the kernels $\mathcal{A}_t$ satisfy the estimate

$$\left| t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathcal{A}_t(x,y) \right| \leq Ct^{-n}\left(1+\frac{|x-y|}{t}\right)^{-(n+\epsilon')}. \tag{2.11}$$

Examples of operators $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t>0}$ which satisfy conditions i) and ii) above include the Poisson and heat kernels of certain operators including Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials and second order divergence form elliptic operators (see for example, [2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12]).

Proposition 2.5. *Assume that $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t>0}$ is a generalized approximation to the identity with properties i) and ii) above. If μ is a Carleson measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, then the function*

$$S_{\mu,\mathcal{A}}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \mathcal{A}_t(x,y) d\mu(y,t) \tag{2.12}$$

belongs to $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *with* $\|S_{\mu,\mathcal{A}}\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(n)|||\mu|||_c$.

Proof. Let Q be a cube with the center x_Q and its sidelength $\ell(Q)$. Let $Q_k = 2^k Q$. It follows from the assumption (i) of $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t>0}$ that

(2.13)
$$\begin{aligned}\int_Q |S_{\mu,\mathcal{A}}(x) - \mathcal{A}_{\ell(Q)} S_{\mu,\mathcal{A}}(x)|dx &\le \int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} |\mathcal{A}_t(x,z) - \mathcal{A}_{\ell(Q)}\mathcal{A}_t(x,z)|d\mu(z,t)dx \\ &\le \int_Q \int_{\widehat{Q}_1} |\mathcal{A}_t(x,z) - \mathcal{A}_{t+\ell(Q)}(x,z)|d\mu(z,t)dx \\ &\quad + \int_Q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\setminus\widehat{Q}_1} |\mathcal{A}_t(x,z) - \mathcal{A}_{t+\ell(Q)}(x,z)|d\mu(z,t)dx = I + II.\end{aligned}$$

For the term I, one easily sees that

$$I = \int_{\widehat{Q}_1}\int_Q |\mathcal{A}_t(x,z) - \mathcal{A}_{t+\ell(Q)}(x,z)|dxd\mu(z,t) \le C|\widehat{Q}_1|_\mu \le C|Q|. \tag{2.14}$$

Consider the term II. We apply the formula:

$$\mathcal{A}_t(x,z) - \mathcal{A}_{t+\ell(Q)}(x,z) = -\int_0^{\ell(Q)} \partial_s \mathcal{A}_{s+t}(x,z)ds.$$

This, together with the assumption (ii) of $\{\mathcal{A}_t\}_{t>0}$, yields that for some $0 < \epsilon' \le \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned}II &\le \int_Q \int_0^{\ell(Q)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\setminus\widehat{Q}_1} |\partial_s \mathcal{A}_{s+t}(x,z)|\, d\mu(z,t)dsdx \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_Q \int_0^{\ell(Q)} \int_{\widehat{Q}_{k+1}\setminus\widehat{Q}_k} |\partial_s \mathcal{A}_{s+t}(x,z)|\, d\mu(z,t)dsdx \\ &\le C\sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_Q \int_0^{\ell(Q)} \int_{\widehat{Q}_{k+1}\setminus\widehat{Q}_k} \frac{1}{s+t}\frac{(s+t)^{\epsilon'}}{(s+t+|x-z|)^{n+\epsilon'}}\, d\mu(z,t)dsdx \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^\infty II_k.\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Notice that for every $x \in Q$, $0 < t < \ell(Q)$ and $(s,z) \in \widehat{Q}_{k+1}\setminus\widehat{Q}_k, k = 1, 2, \cdots$, we have that $s + t + |x - z| \ge 2^k \ell(Q)$. It tells us that

$$\begin{aligned}II_k &\le C(2^k\ell(Q))^{-(n+\epsilon')} \int_Q \int_0^{\ell(Q)} \int_{\widehat{Q}_{k+1}\setminus\widehat{Q}_k} (s+t)^{\epsilon'-1}\, d\mu(z,t)dsdx \\ &\le C|Q|(2^k\ell(Q))^{-(n+\epsilon')}\ell(Q)^{\epsilon'}|2^kQ| \\ &\le 2^{-k\epsilon'}|Q|,\end{aligned}$$

which shows that $II \le \sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-k\epsilon'}|Q| \le C|Q|$. This, in combination with (2.14), yields the desired result. This completes the proof. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the BMO space associated with the semigroup $\{e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}\}_{t>0}$ defined in Definition 2.4. Under the assumption of $V \in B_q$ for some $q \ge n/2$, it is known (see [3, Proposition 6.1]) that the spaces $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincide, and their norms are equivalent. From this, (i) of Theorem 1.1 is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 2.5.

We now show (ii) of Theorem 1.1. Throughout this section, we will assume that $f \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\mathrm{supp} f \subseteq \{x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) : \ |x_i| \leq 1, i = 1, \cdots, n\}$ and set $Q_0 = \{x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) : \ |x_i| \leq 2, i = 1, \cdots, n\}$. Write

$$u(x,t) = \mathcal{P}_t f(x) = e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}} f(x).$$

We will use two facts about the space $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$(see [4, 5, 10]) under the assumption of $V \in B_q$, $q \geq n$:

(1) Let $Q = Q(x,t)$ denote the cube with center x and sidelength t. Then we have

$$|\mathcal{P}_t(f - f_Q)(x)| = |e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f - f_Q)(x)| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.1}$$

(2) Let ∇ denote the full gradient in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. We have that for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$,

$$|t\nabla u(x,t)| = \left|t\nabla e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f)(x)\right| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.2}$$

In the sequel, for a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we let $z_Q = (x_Q, t_Q)$ with $t_Q = \ell(Q)$, where x_Q is the center of Q (z_Q is the center of the top face of $\hat{Q}$). We define generations, $\mathbf{G}_k$, of subcubes of Q_0 as follows:

$$\mathbf{G}_0 = \{Q_0\};$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{k+1} = \left\{Q' \subseteq Q \in \mathbf{G}_k : \ Q' \ \text{ maximal dyadic such that } \ \left|u(x_Q, t_Q) - u(x_{Q'}, t_{Q'})\right| > A\right\}$$

for $k \geq 0$, where A is a large constant to be chosen later.

In the sequel, for every $Q \in \mathbf{G}_k, k \geq 0$ set

$$\Sigma_Q = \widehat{Q} \setminus \bigcup_{\substack{Q' \subset Q \in \mathbf{G}_k \\ Q' \in \mathbf{G}_{k+1}}} \widehat{Q'}, \tag{3.3}$$

and $\partial\Sigma_Q$ denotes the boundary of Σ_Q. Then the following result holds.

Lemma 3.1. *We have the properties:*

(i) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $(x,t) \in \Sigma_Q \cup \partial\Sigma_Q$,*

$$|u(x,t) - u(x_Q, t_Q)| \leq A + C\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(ii) *For every $Q \in \mathbf{G}_k, k \geq 0$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{Q' \subset Q \in \mathbf{G}_k \\ Q' \in \mathbf{G}_{k+1}}} |Q'| \leq CA^{-1}|Q|\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

As a consequence, if A is large enough, then $\sum_{\substack{Q' \subset Q \in \mathbf{G}_k \\ Q' \in \mathbf{G}_{k+1}}} |Q'| \leq |Q|/2$.

Proof. For a cube J, we set $T(J)$ the top half of $\widehat{J}$, i.e., $T(J) = \{(x,t) : x \in J, \ell(J)/2 \leq t < \ell(J)\}$. We notice that, for every dyadic cube I,

$$\widehat{I} = \bigcup_{\substack{J \subset I \\ J \in \mathcal{D}}} T(J),$$

and therefore, if $Q \in \mathbf{G}_k, \Sigma_Q$ is the union of all the sets $T(J)$ such that $J \subseteq Q$ is dyadic and J is not a subset of any $Q' \in \mathbf{G}_{k+1}$. If $(x,t) \in \Sigma_Q$, then (x,t) lies in some $T(J)$ as described above. But then $|u(x_Q, t_Q) - u(x_J, t_J)| \leq A$ because if it were $|u(x_Q, t_Q) - u(x_J, t_J)| > A$, J would belongs to $\mathbf{G}_{k+1}$ or would be contained in some cube in $\mathbf{G}_{k+1}$, i.e., a maximal cube for the property. But then (x,t) would not line in Σ_Q. On the other hand, the fact (3.2) implies that for every $(x,t) \in T(J)$,

$$|u(x,t) - u(x_J, t_J)| \leq C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

All together, yields the desired result for $(x,t)\in\Sigma_Q$. Taking limits, this also holds for $(x,t)\in\partial\Sigma_Q$. This proves (i).

Let us show (ii). For every k, it follows from the definition of $\mathbf{G}_k$ that

$$\sum_{\substack{Q'\subset Q\in\mathbf{G}_k\\ Q'\in\mathbf{G}_{k+1}}}|Q'|\le\frac{1}{A}\sum_{\substack{Q'\subset Q\in\mathbf{G}_k\\ Q'\in\mathbf{G}_{k+1}}}|Q'||u(x_Q,t_Q)-u(x_{Q'},t_{Q'})| \tag{3.4}$$

Observe that $u(x_Q,t_Q)=\mathcal{P}_{t_Q}f(x_Q)=\mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(f-f_Q)(x_Q)+\mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(1)(x_Q)f_Q$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\text{RHS of (3.4)}\ \le\ &\frac{1}{A}\sum_{\substack{Q'\subset Q\in\mathbf{G}_k\\ Q'\in\mathbf{G}_{k+1}}}|Q'|\Big(|\mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(f-f_Q)(x_Q)|+|\mathcal{P}_{t_{Q'}}(f-f_{Q'})(x_{Q'})|+|\mathcal{P}_{t_{Q'}}(1)(x_{Q'})||f_Q-f_{Q'}|\Big)\\ &+\frac{1}{A}\sum_{\substack{Q'\subset Q\in\mathbf{G}_k\\ Q'\in\mathbf{G}_{k+1}}}|Q'|\,|f_Q|\Big|\mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(1)(x_Q)-\mathcal{P}_{t_{Q'}}(1)(x_Q)\Big|\\ &+\frac{1}{A}\sum_{\substack{Q'\subset Q\in\mathbf{G}_k\\ Q'\in\mathbf{G}_{k+1}}}|Q'|\,|f_Q|\,|\mathcal{P}_{t_{Q'}}(1)(x_Q)-\mathcal{P}_{t_{Q'}}(1)(x_{Q'})|\\ =\ &: I+II+III.\end{aligned}$$

We first note that $I\le\frac{C}{A}|Q|\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. In fact, this follows from the estimate in (3.1) and from the facts that for every $t>0$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $|\mathcal{P}_t(1)(x)|\le C$ and that for every $Q'\subset Q$, $|f_Q-f_{Q'}|\le|Q'|^{-1}\int_{Q'}|f-f_Q|dx\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

To estimate the term II, we first assume that $t_Q\ge\rho(x_Q)$. Then from the facts that that for every $t>0$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $|\mathcal{P}_t(1)(x)|\le C$ and that $\big|f_Q\big|\le C\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, the term II is bounded by

$$\frac{1}{A}\sum_{\substack{Q'\subset Q\in\mathbf{G}_k\\ Q'\in\mathbf{G}_{k+1}}}|Q'|\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le\frac{C}{A}|Q|\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.5}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that these Q''s are pairwise disjoint.

Next we consider the case $t_Q<\rho(x_Q)$. From (2.2), we have that $\rho(x)\sim\rho(x_Q)$ for $x\in Q$. By (iii) of Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(1)(x_Q)-\mathcal{P}_{t_{Q'}}(1)(x_Q)|&=\left|\int_{t_{Q'}}^{t_Q}s\partial_s e^{-s\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(1)(x_Q)\frac{ds}{s}\right|\\ &\le C\int_{t_{Q'}}^{t_Q}\left(\frac{s}{\rho(x_Q)}\right)^{\beta}\frac{ds}{s}\\ &\le C\left(\frac{t_Q}{\rho(x_Q)}\right)^{\beta},\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (i) of Lemma 2.3, implies

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{Q'\subset Q\in\mathbf{G}_k\\ Q'\in\mathbf{G}_{k+1}}}\frac{1}{A}|Q'|\,|f_Q|\,|\mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(1)(x_Q)-\mathcal{P}_{t_{Q'}}(1)(x_Q)|&\le\frac{C}{A}\left(\frac{t_Q}{\rho(x_Q)}\right)^{\beta}\big|f_Q\big|\sum_{\substack{Q'\subset Q\in\mathbf{G}_k\\ Q'\in\mathbf{G}_{k+1}}}\int_{Q'}dy\\ &\le\frac{C}{A}|Q|\,\big|f_Q\big|\left(\frac{t_Q}{\rho(x_Q)}\right)^{\beta}\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{A}|Q|\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big(1+\log\frac{\rho(x_Q)}{t_Q}\Big)\left(\frac{t_Q}{\rho(x_Q)}\right)^{\beta}$$
$$\leq \frac{C}{A}|Q|\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For the term III, we follow an argument as that in the term II and the fact (3.2) to show that in this case, $III \leq \frac{C}{A}|Q|\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{\substack{Q'\subset Q\in\mathbf{G}_k\\ Q'\in\mathbf{G}_{k+1}}}|Q'| \leq \frac{C}{A}|Q|\,\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{1}{2}|Q|, \tag{3.7}$$

by choosing A large enough. This ends the proof of (ii) of Lemma 3.1. The proof is complete. □

In the sequel, we fix a constant $A > 0$ large enough so that (3.7) holds. By (ii) of Lemma 2.3, we know that $f \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $\mathrm{supp}\, f \subset Q_0 = \{x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n) : \ |x_i| \leq 2, i = 1, \cdots, n\}$, f belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It follows by the spectral theory ([12]) that

$$f(x) = 2\int_0^\infty \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}e^{-2t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f(x)dt, \tag{3.8}$$

where the improper integral converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that both functions $\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)$ and $u(y,t)$ are $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in y. Since $-u_{tt} + \mathcal{L}u = 0$, we apply Green's theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= -\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} t\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)u(y,t)dydt + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)(-\Delta+V)u(y,t)dydt\\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)u(y,t)dydt + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} t\nabla\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,\nabla u(y,t)dydt\\ &\quad + \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)u(y,t)dydt.\end{aligned}$$

By (3.8), it follows that

$$f(x) = 2\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}\left(t\nabla\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\nabla u(y,t) + t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)u(y,t)\right)dydt, \tag{3.9}$$

where the improper integral converges in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We are now going to cut up the integral in (3.8). For every $Q \in \mathbf{G}_k, k \geq 0$, we recall that Σ_Q is defined in (3.3). Observe that if $Q \neq \cap Q'$, then $\Sigma_Q \cap \Sigma_{Q'} = \emptyset$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ = \widehat{Q}_0 \cup \left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\backslash\widehat{Q}_0\right) = \left(\bigcup_{k\geq 0}\bigcup_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k}\Sigma_Q\right)\bigcup\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\backslash\widehat{Q}_0\right). \tag{3.10}$$

From this, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k} 2\iint_{\Sigma_Q}\left(t\nabla\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\nabla u(y,t) + t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)u(y,t)\right)dydt\\ &\quad +2\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\backslash\widehat{Q}_0}\left(t\nabla\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\nabla u(y,t) + t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)u(y,t)\right)dydt\\ &=: \left(\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k} f_Q(x)\right) + f_2(x) =: f_1(x) + f_2(x).\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

We will deal with $f_1(x)$ first. Since $-u_{tt} + \mathcal{L}u = 0$, we apply Green's theorem to each f_Q of the summands in f_1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_Q(x) &= u(y_Q, t_Q) \iint_{\Sigma_Q} t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)dydt \\ &+ \left\{ \iint_{\partial\Sigma_Q} t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\ (u(y,t) - u(y_Q,t_Q))\, d\sigma_Q + \iint_{\partial\Sigma_Q} t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}u(y,t)d\sigma_Q \right. \\ &\left. - \iint_{\partial\Sigma_Q} \mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\ (u(y,t) - u(y_Q,t_Q))\,\frac{\partial t}{\partial\nu}d\sigma_Q \right\} \\ &=: I_Q(x) + II_Q(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\sum_Q |I_Q(x)| \le CA + C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. To estimate the term

$$\iint_{\Sigma_Q} u(y_Q, t_Q)t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)dydt.$$

We write $u(y_Q, t_Q) = \mathcal{P}_{t_Q}f(y_Q) = \mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(f - f_{Q(y,t_Q)})(y_Q) + \mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(1)(y_Q)f_{Q(y,t_Q)}$ where $(y,t) \in \Sigma_Q$. This, in combination with (3.1) and (2.8), shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_Q |I_Q(x)| &\le \sum_Q \iint_{\Sigma_Q} |\mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(f - f_{Q(y,t_Q)})(y_Q)|t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)dydt \\ &\quad + \sum_Q \iint_{\Sigma_Q} |\mathcal{P}_{t_Q}(1)(y_Q)f_{Q(y,t_Q)}|t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)dydt \\ &\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C\sum_Q \widetilde{I_Q}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$|f_{Q(y,t_Q)}| \le \begin{cases} C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(1 + \log\frac{\rho(y)}{t_Q}\right), & \text{if} \quad t_Q \le \rho(y); \\ C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if} \quad t_Q > \rho(y). \end{cases}$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_Q \widetilde{I_Q}(x) &\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_Q \iint_{\Sigma_Q} \left(1 + \max\left\{\log\frac{\rho(y)}{t_Q}, 1\right\}\right) t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)dydt \\ &\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \left(1 + \left|\log\frac{\rho(y)}{t}\right|\right) t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)dydt \\ &\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_0^\infty \min\left\{\left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^\delta, \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}+2}\right\}\frac{dt}{t} \\ &\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.13), shows that $\sum_Q |I_Q(x)| \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. The proof is complete. □

Next we estimate the term $II_Q(x)$. Following [14], one writes

$$F(Q:t,x,y) = t\left(\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}u(y,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,(u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q))\right) - \mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,(u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q))\,\frac{\partial t}{\partial\nu}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} II_Q(x) &= \iint_{\partial\Sigma_Q} F(Q:t,x,y)d\sigma_Q \\ &= \int_{\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\mathbb{R}^n} F(Q:t,x,y)d\sigma_Q + \int_{\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\{t>0\}} F(Q:t,x,y)d\sigma_Q =: II_Q^{(1)}(x) + II_Q^{(2)}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

It can be verified that $II_Q^{(1)}(x)$ is equal to

$$\big(f(x)-u(y_Q,t_Q)\big)\chi_{\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\mathbb{R}^n}(x) = h_Q(x).$$

The supports of the different h_Q's are easily seen to be disjoint, and so we may set

$$h(x) = \sum_{Q\in\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty}\mathbf{G}_k\right)} h_Q(x).$$

Then the following result holds.

Lemma 3.3. *The function h satisfies*

$$\|h\|_\infty \le A + C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{3.15}$$

with supp $h \subseteq \{x=(x_1,x_2,\cdots,x_n):\ |x_i|\le 2, i=1,2,\cdots,n\}$.

Proof. By (ii) of Lemma 2.3, we know that $f\in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $f\in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have compact support, f belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then by (b) of Maximal Theorem on Stein's book [12, Section 3 of Chapter III],

$$\lim_{t\to 0} e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f(x) = f(x), \quad \text{a.e.}$$

By (i) of Lemma 3.1, it follows that for every $(x,t)\in\Sigma_Q$,

$$|e^{-t_Q\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f)(x_Q) - e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}(f)(x)| \le A + C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Taking limits, this holds for each $h_Q(x)$, $x\in\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\mathbb{R}^n$. This proves (3.15). □

We will finished with the term f_1 once we show that

$$\sum_Q II_Q^{(2)}(x) = S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}} \tag{3.16}$$

for some μ with $|||\mu|||_c \le C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. We proceed to do this now. Write

$$\begin{aligned} II_Q^{(2)}(x) = &\iint_{\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\{t>0\}} t\left(\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}u(y,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\ (u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q))\right)d\sigma_Q \\ &- \iint_{\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\{t>0\}} \mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,(u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q))\,\frac{\partial t}{\partial\nu}d\sigma_Q \\ =: &\ II_Q^{(21)}(x) + II_Q^{(22)}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By fact (3.2) and the way we chose the Q's,

$$\left|t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}u(y,t) - (u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q))\,\frac{\partial t}{\partial\nu}\right| \le C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

when $(y,t) \in \partial\Sigma_Q \cap \{t>0\}$. Hence,

$$\iint_{\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\{t>0\}} \left(t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}u(y,t) - \big(u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q)\big)\frac{\partial t}{\partial\nu}\right)\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)d\sigma_Q = \iint_{\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\{t>0\}} \mathcal{P}_t(x,y)h_Q(y,t)d\sigma_Q$$

for some $h_Q(y,t)$ with $|h_Q| \le C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. By (ii) of Lemma 3.1, it is well known (see [6, Chapter VIII], [14]) that

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \sum_{k\ge 0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k} d\sigma_Q \right|\!\right|\!\right|_c \le C(n), \tag{3.18}$$

which, together with the condition $|h_Q| \le C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, implies that

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \sum_{k\ge 0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k} h_Q(y,t)d\sigma_Q \right|\!\right|\!\right|_c \le C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus, to obtain (3.16), we only need to estimate the integrals

$$\iint_{\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\{t>0\}} t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\ \big(u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q)\big)\ d\sigma_Q. \tag{3.19}$$

For this, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\{z_i\} = \{(x_i,t_i)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ be points and let δ_{z_i} = the Dirac mass at z_i. Assume that*

$$\left|\!\left|\!\left| \sum_i t_i^n \delta_{z_i} \right|\!\right|\!\right|_c \le 1.$$

Let ds_i denote n-dimensional Lebesgue measure on the hyperplane $\{t = t_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ and let $\Phi_t(x,y) = t/(t+|x-y|)^{n+1}$. Set

$$\mu(x,t) = \sum_i t_i^n \Phi_{t_i}(x,x_i)ds_i.$$

Then $|||\mu|||_c \le C(n)$.

Proof. Its proof is similar to that of LEMMA of [14], and we skip it here. □

To estimate (3.19), we follow [14] to write

$$\partial\Sigma_Q \cap \{t>0\} = \bigcup_i E_{i,Q}$$

where each $E_{i,Q}$ is of the form

$$E_{i,Q} = \begin{cases} \{(x,t):\ x\in\partial Q_i, \quad \ell(Q_i)\le t\le 2\ell(Q_i)\}\cap\partial\Sigma_Q \ \text{ or} \\ \{(x,t):\ x\in\overline{Q}_i, \quad t=\ell(Q_i)\} \end{cases}$$

for some dyadic cube Q_i. These $E_{i,Q}$ make a tiling of $\partial\Sigma_Q\cap\{t>0\}$, with the size of the tiles going to zero as $t\to 0$. Let $(x_{i,Q},\tilde{t}_{i,Q})$ be the centroid of $E_{i,Q}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ and let $\xi_{i,Q} = (x_{i,Q},\ \frac{1}{2}\tilde{t}_{i,Q}) \equiv (x_{i,Q},t_{i,Q})$. It is easy to see that, for any cube $Q^* = 2Q$,

$$\int_{\widehat{Q^*}} \sum_i \tilde{t}_{i,Q}^n \delta_{\xi_{i,Q}} dydt \le \int_{4\widehat{Q^*}} d\sigma_Q.$$

Therefore, by (3.18),

$$\left\|\left\|\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k}\sum_i \widetilde{t}_{i,Q}^{\,n}\,\delta_{\xi_{i,Q}}\right\|\right\|_c \leq C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.20}$$

Observe that

$$t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathcal{P}_{t_{i,Q}}(x,z)\left(t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_{t-t_{i,Q}}(z,y)\right)dz.$$

For every $(y,t)\in E_{i,Q}$, it is easy to see that $|y-x_{i,Q}|\leq t$. Also we have that $t-t_{i,Q}>t_{i,Q}/3$, $t\leq 8t_{i,Q}/3$, and so $t\sim t-t_{i,Q}$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ uniformly for $(y,t)\in E_{i,Q}$ such that

$$\left|t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_{t-t_{i,Q}}(z,y)\right| \leq C\frac{t_{i,Q}}{(t_{i,Q}+|z-x_{i,Q}|)^{n+1}}.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k} II_Q^{(22)}(x) &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k}\sum_i\iint_{E_{i,Q}} t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,\left(u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q)\right)\,d\sigma_Q \\ &= \sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k}\sum_i t_{i,Q}^n\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\mathcal{P}_{t_{i,Q}}(x,z)\Lambda_{t-t_{i,Q}}^{i,Q}(z,x_{i,Q})dz_{i,Q} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)d\rho_Q(y,t),\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where

$$d\rho_Q = \sum_{k\geq 0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k}\sum_i t_{i,Q}^n\Lambda_{t-t_{i,Q}}^{i,Q}(z,x_{i,Q})dz_{i,Q} \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\Lambda_{t-t_{i,Q}}^{i,Q}(z,x_{i,Q})| &= \left|t_{i,Q}^{-n}\iint_{E_{i,Q}}(u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q))\left(t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_{t-t_{i,Q}}(z,y)\right)d\sigma_Q\right| \\ &\leq C\frac{t_{i,Q}}{(t_{i,Q}+|z-x_{i,Q}|)^{n+1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Here we used the fact that for every $(y,t)\in E_{i,Q}\subset\partial\Sigma_Q$, it follows by (i) of Lemma 3.1 that $|u(y,t)-u(y_Q,t_Q)\leq A+C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Hence, from estimates (3.20), (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23), we apply Lemma 3.4 to finish the proof of the term f_1.

Now for the term $f_2(x)$ in (3.11), Green's theorem gives us

$$\begin{aligned}f_2(x) &= u(y_{Q_0},t_{Q_0})\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\setminus\widehat{Q}_0} t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)dydt \\ &\quad+\left\{\iint_{\partial\widehat{Q}_0\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} t\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,\left(u(y,t)-u(y_{Q_0},t_{Q_0})\right)d\sigma_{Q_0}\right. \\ &\quad+\iint_{\partial\widehat{Q}_0\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}u(y,t)d\sigma_{Q_0} \\ &\quad\left.-\iint_{\partial\widehat{Q}_0\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)\,\left(u(y,t)-u(y_{Q_0},t_{Q_0})\right)\frac{\partial t}{\partial\nu}d\sigma_{Q_0}\right\} \\ &= III_{Q_0}(x)+IV_{Q_0}(x),\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where $d\sigma_{Q_0}$ is n-dimensional surface measure on $\partial\widehat{Q}_0$ and $\partial/\partial\nu$ now denotes the normal derivative into $\widehat{Q}_0$.

To estimate the term $III_{Q_0}(x)$, we write $u(y_{Q_0}, t_{Q_0}) = (u(y_{Q_0}, t_{Q_0}) - f_{Q_0}) + f_{Q_0}$, it follows by Lemma 2.3 that $|u(y_{Q_0}, t_{Q_0})| \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. We apply an argument as in $I_Q(x)$ to show that

$$\begin{aligned} |III_{Q_0}(x)| &\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} t\mathcal{P}_t(x,y)V(y)dydt \\ &\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_0^\infty \min\left\{\left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\delta}, \left(\frac{t}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-\frac{N}{2}+2}\right\}\frac{dt}{t} \\ &\le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term $IV_{Q_0}(x)$, we notice that $\partial\widehat{Q}_0 \cap \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ is away from the support of f,

$$|u(y,t) - u(y_{Q_0}, t_{Q_0})| \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{and} \quad |t\nabla u(y,t)| \le C\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

on $\partial\widehat{Q}_0 \cap \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. So, since $d\sigma_{Q_0}$ is a Carleson measure with norm $\le C$, these terms present no problem. We now handle the other term by cutting $\partial\widehat{Q}_0$ into tiles, just as we did for $f_1(x)$, to obtain estimate for $IV_{Q_0}(x)$. This finishes the proof of $f_2(x)$.

Finally, we collect estimates (3.11), (3.12), (3.14) for $f_1(x)$ and (3.24) for $f_2(x)$ to write

$$f = g(x) + S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}(x)$$

where

$$g(x) = \sum_{k\ge0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k} I_Q(x) + \sum_{k\ge0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k} II_Q^{(1)}(x) + III_{Q_0}(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and a finite Carleson measure μ such that

$$S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}(x) = \sum_{k\ge0}\sum_{Q\in\mathbf{G}_k} II_Q^{(2)}(x) + IV_{Q_0}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \mathcal{P}_t(x,y)d\mu(y,t)$$

with $\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |||\mu|||_c \le C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

Consider $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V(x)$, where $V \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a non-negative function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\{e^{-t\mathcal{L}}\}_{t>0}$ be the heat semigroup associated to $\mathcal{L}$:

$$e^{-t\mathcal{L}}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{H}_t(x,y)f(y)\,dy, \qquad f\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),\ x\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ t>0. \tag{3.25}$$

Since the potential V is nonnegative, the kernel $\mathcal{H}_t(x,y)$ of the semigroup $e^{-t\mathcal{L}}$ satisfies

$$0 \le \mathcal{H}_t(x,y) \le h_t(x-y) \tag{3.26}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t > 0$, where

$$h_t(x-y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}}\, e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} \tag{3.27}$$

is the kernel of the classical heat semigroup $\{T_t\}_{t>0} = \{e^{t\Delta}\}_{t>0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have the following result.

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose $V \in B_q$ for some $q \ge n$. Then for every $f \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, there exist $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and a finite Carleson measure μ such that*

$$f(x) = g(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \mathcal{H}_{t^2}(x,y)d\mu(y,t),$$

where $\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |||\mu|||_c \le C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Proof. From Theorem 1.1, we know that for every $f \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, there exist $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a finite Carleson measure μ such that $f(x) = g(x) + S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}(x)$, where $\|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\mu\|_C \le C(n)\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. From this, and the subordination formula (2.5), we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \mathcal{P}_t(x,y)d\mu(y,t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \mathcal{H}_{s^2}(x,y)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty t\frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s^2}}}{s^2}d\mu(y,t)\,ds\right) \\ &=: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \mathcal{H}_{s^2}(x,y)d\mu(y,s). \end{aligned}$$

The proof reduces to show that

$$d\mu(y,s) =: \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^\infty t\frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s^2}}}{s^2}d\mu(y,t)\,ds$$

is a Carleson measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. Indeed, for each cube Q on $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\ell(Q)}\int_Q\left(\int_0^\infty t\frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s^2}}}{s^2}d\mu(y,t)\,ds\right) &= \int_0^{\ell(Q)}\int_Q\left(\int_0^{\ell(Q)} t\frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s^2}}}{s^2}d\mu(y,t)\,ds\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^\infty\int_0^{\ell(Q)}\int_Q\left(\int_{2^{k-1}\ell(Q)}^{2^k\ell(Q)} t\frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s^2}}}{s^2}d\mu(y,t)\,ds\right) \\ &\le \int_{\widehat{Q}} d\mu(y,t)\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4s^2}}}{s^2}\,ds\right) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty\int_{\widehat{2^kQ}} d\mu(y,t)\left(\int_0^{2^{-k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4s^2}}}{s^2}\,ds\right) \\ &\le C|Q| + C\sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-k(n+1)}|2^kQ| \\ &\le C|Q|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Finally, we apply Theorem 1.1 to discuss the dual theory of the spaces $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated to the Schrödinger operator. Let $\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$ with $V \in B_q$ for some $q \ge n$. Recall that a Hardy-type space associated to $\mathcal{L}$ was introduced by J. Dziubanski et al.(see [5]), defined by

$$H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{P}^*f(x) = \sup_{|x-y|\le t}|e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}f(y)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\} \tag{3.28}$$

with $\|f\|_{H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\mathcal{P}^*f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

For such class of potentials, $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits an atomic characterization, where cancellation conditions are only required for atoms with small supports. It is known that if $V \in B_q$ for some $q > n/2$, then the dual space of $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.,

$$(H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{3.29}$$

The proof of (3.29) was given in [5, Theorem 4]. See also [3]. Now, we can derive the half of the duality result (3.29) from Theorem 1.1, see the proposition below. We note that our proof here is independent of atomic decomposition of the Hardy space $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ([9, Theorem 6.2]).

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose $V \in B_q$ for some $q \geq n$. Then $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is in the dual space of $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.,*

$$\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq (H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n))^*.$$

Proof. Let $g \in \mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and $\|g\|_{\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. By Theorem 1.1, there exist $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a finite Carleson measure μ such that $g(x) = h(x) + S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}(x)$, where $\|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + |||\mu|||_c \leq C(n)$. Then for every $f \in H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)dx\right| &\leq \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)h(x)dx\right| + \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)S_{\mu,\mathcal{P}}(x)dx\right| \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \mathcal{P}_t f(x)d\mu(x,t)\right| \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C\|\mathcal{P}^* f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The desired result follows by the standard density argument. □

Remarks. We would like to comment on the possibility of several generalizations and open problems related to Theorem 1.1.

(1) The first one is the extension of (ii) of Theorem 1.1 for the Schrödinger operators $-\Delta + V$ with the nonnegative potential $V \in B_q$ for some $q \geq n/2$, or assuming merely that the potential V of $\mathcal{L}$ is a locally nonnegative integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and this question will be considered in the future.

(2) The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the Poisson semigroup property, and Green's theorem in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We may ask whether (ii) of Theorem 1.1 still holds for the space $\mathrm{BMO}_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ associated to the Poisson semigroup $\{e^{-t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}\}$ of abstract selfadjoint operators $\mathcal{L}$ on metric measure space X with certain proper assumptions, along which direction there have been lots of success in the last few years, see for example, in [2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11] and the references therein.

Acknowledgments. L. Yan would like to thank J. Michael Wilson for helpful discussions. C. Peng is supported by the NNSF of China, Grant No. 11501583. X. T. Duong is supported by Australian Research Council Discovery Grant DP 140100649. J. Li is supported by ARC DP 170101060. L. Song is supported in part by the NNSF of China (Nos 11471338 and 11622113) and Guangdong Natural Science Funds for Distinguished Young Scholar (No. 2016A030306040). L. Yan is supported by the NNSF of China, Grant No. 11371378 and 11521101 and Guangdong Special Support Program.

References

[1] L. Carleson, Two remarks on H^1 and BMO, *Adv. Math.* **22** (1976), 269-277.

[2] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, New function spaces of BMO type, the John-Nirenberg inequality, interpolation and applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **58** (2005), 1375–1420.

[3] X.T. Duong and L.X. Yan, Duality of Hardy and BMO spaces associated with operators with heat kernel bounds. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18**(2005), 943–973.
[4] X.T. Duong, L.X. Yan and C. Zhang, On characterization of Poisson integrals of Schrödinger operators with BMO traces. *J. Funct. Anal.***266** (2014), 2053–2085.
[5] J. Dziubański, G. Garrigós, T. Martínez, J. L. Torrea and J. Zienkiewicz, BMO spaces related to Schrödinger operators with potentials satisfying a reverse Hölder inequality. *Math. Z.* **249** (2005), 329–356.
[6] J. Garnett, *Bouned analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York,1981.
[7] J. Garnett and P. Jones, BMO from dyadic BMO, *Pacific J. Math.* **99** (1982), 351-371.
[8] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier Analysis. 2nd edition. GTM, **249**, Springer, New York, 2008.
[9] S. Hofmann, G. Lu, D. Mitrea, M. Mitrea and L. Yan, Hardy spaces associated to non-negative self-adjoint operators satisfying Davies-Gaffney estimates. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **214** (2011), no. 1007.
[10] T. Ma, P. Stinga, J. Torrea and C. Zhang, Regularity properties of Schrödinger operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **388** (2012), 817–837.
[11] Z. Shen, L^p estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **45** (1995), 513–546.
[12] E.M. Stein, Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood-Paley Theory, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.
[13] A. Uchiyama, A remark on Carleson's characterization of BMO, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc*, **79** (1980), 35-41.
[14] J.M. Wilson, Green's theorem and balayage, *Michigan Math. J.* **35** (1988), 21-27.

Peng Chen, Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen (Zhongshan) University, Guangzhou, 510275, P.R. China
E-mail address: achenpeng1981@163.com

Xuan Thinh Duong, Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
E-mail address: xuan.duong@mq.edu.au

Ji Li, Department of Mathematics, Macquarie University, NSW, 2109, Australia
E-mail address: ji.li@mq.edu.au

Liang Song, Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen (Zhongshan) University, Guangzhou, 510275, P.R. China
E-mail address: songl@mail.sysu.edu.cn

Lixin Yan, Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen (Zhongshan) University, Guangzhou, 510275, P.R. China
E-mail address: mcsylx@mail.sysu.edu.cn